

Effect of Jigsaw Cooperative Learning Strategy on Students' Interest and Performance in Mathematics

¹Awwalu. B. Habiba, ²Ogbeta I. Joseph & ²Shehu Munir

¹Department of Economics and Social Research, National Institute for Legislative and Democratic Studies
Abuja

²Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Hussaini Adamu Federal Polytechnic Kazaure, Jigawa State
Corresponding Author: iyamibbg@gmail.com

Abstract

The study investigated effects of Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy on students' interest and performance in mathematics. Two research questions and hypotheses guided the study. Quasi\ experimental design of non-equivalent pre-test and post-test group was adopted. Population consists of 532 SS II students. Sample of 82 students who participated in the study was obtained from two randomly selected schools. Instruments for data collection were Mathematics Performance Test MPT and Students Interest in Mathematics Questionnaire SIMQ. Validity of MPT and SIMQ was ascertained by experts in Mathematics Education. Test-retest reliability coefficient of 0.79 was obtained for MPT while Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient of 0.89 was obtained for the SIMQ. Data were analysed using mean, standard deviation and ANCOVA. Findings revealed Jig saw cooperative learning strategy enhanced students interest and performance in mathematics. The study recommends use of Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy in aiding students learning and understanding of mathematics.

Keywords: Interest, Jigsaw Cooperative Learning, Mathematics, Performance and Students.

Introduction

Mathematics plays a significant role in science and technology which is the hub of economy growth as no nation can survive economically without embracing the teaching and learning of mathematics. Odogwu, (2014) described mathematics as subject that explores patterns, laws, principles and theories to explaining variety of phenomena. Mathematics is fundamental to technology and serves as an essential tool for economy development (Ijeh, 2014). The importance accorded to mathematics makes it a prerequisite for admission to Nigerian tertiary institutions (National Policy on Education (FRN), 2020). Despite the importance of mathematics there been continuous poor performance in mathematics experience by most students. Awofala and Fatade (2023) stated that students poor in mathematics has been a re occurring incident. The West African Examination Council WAEC (2017) chief examiner's report on students' performance in mathematics indicated that only 40 percent of total registered students obtained credit in mathematics.

Moreover students continous poor performance in mathematics has been a resounding issue among researchers and mathematics educators alike. Studies have attributed poor instructional method

(Nsengimana *et al.* 2020); lack of interest (Mazana *et al.*, 2020); negative attitude (Escalera, *et al.*, 2019) as major causes of students poor performance in mathematics. Among these factors it is sad to note the persistent use of lecture method has led to many students showing no interest in mathematics and consequently resulting in their poor performance. However in order to improve students interest and performance in mathematics, there is a need for the adoption of a more effective instructional strategy that would enhance students interest and performance in mathematics such a strategy is the Jigsaw Cooperative Learning Strategy.

Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy involves organizing students into groups with each student in a group taking charge of solving given academic tasks. The teacher gives a time frame to students in different groups working together on the same task. Students come together and present information, also give others in the group the opportunity to ask questions. The teacher monitors students' learning activities in each group and answers questions that may be asked about the topics.

Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy leads to students' continuous engagement in learning and

resulting to development of interest in group work. Cooperative-learning strategy involves students working together in small groups to accomplish educational tasks (Ahmad, 2019). Cooperative learning is considered as one of the modern instructional strategy based on the formation of heterogeneous group that can be organized into small workgroup. Amedu (2015) asserted that students are assigned to groups and work together on an instructional material. Students with same topic meet in expert group to study extensively on their assigned topic, after which they return to their various groups to teach other students.

Interest is the disposition of students to engage in group work with the aim of learning mathematics. Toli and Kallery (2021) highlights attention, effort, affect and experience as key elements associated with students' interest. Fisher *et al.* (2012) stated that interest is catalyst for successful learning and performance in mathematics. Construct of interest places emphasis on attributes such as emotion, perceive value, knowledge and engagement (Renninger & Hidi, 2011). Boniface (2018) found lack of interest as a major factor discouraging most students from learning mathematics. Students who shows interest in mathematics exhibit a discernment in decision to pursue further studies in mathematics (Azmidar *et al.*, 2017). Schiefele (1996) found interest is predictive of motivation when students developed interest they are motivated to learn and acquire knowledge in a more coherent way.

Various studies conducted on effect of Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy on students' interest and performance. Nduji, *et al.*, (2021) in their study found jigsaw cooperative learning strategy more superior in enhancing students interest and performance in physic. Areelu and Ladele (2018) study on effect of Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy found higher mean score of students interest and performance in mathematics. Gongden (2019) examined effect of Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy on students understanding of chemical kinetic and found jigsaw cooperative learning strategy effective in improving students performance in chemical kinetic. Kindom-Aaron *et al* (2019); Chukwuebuka, *et al.*, (2020) found Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy effective in boosting students interest and performance in mathematics. Although studies on Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy were found in the literature however not much is known of effects of Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy on senior secondary two students interest and performance in mathematics.

Research Questions

- i) What is the difference in mean interest score of students taught mathematics using Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy and those taught using lecture method?
- ii) What is the difference in mean performance score of students taught mathematics using Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy and those taught using lecture method?

Hypotheses

H₀₁: There is no significance difference in mean interest score of students taught mathematics using Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy and those taught using lecture method.

H₀₂: There is no significance difference in mean performance score of students taught mathematics using Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy and those taught using lecture method.

Methods

Quasi-experimental design of non-equivalent pre-test and post-test group was adopted in the study. Population consists of 532 Senior Secondary Two SS II students in Kazaure Local Government Area. Sample of 82 students who participated in the study was obtained from two randomly selected schools. Two intact classes one from each selected school were randomly assigned as experimental and control group. Instruments for data collection were Mathematics Performance Test (MPT) of multiple choice type and a five point Likert scale Students Interest in Mathematics Questionnaire SIMQ. MPT consisting of twenty multiple choice questions on quadratic equation was adapted from WAEC past questions, reason is that quadratic equation a topic in the SS 2 mathematics curriculum while SIMQ consisting of ten item statements on interest in mathematics was adapted from Wong and Wong (2019). MPT and SIMQ were validated by expert in Mathematics Education corrections and suggestions given were effected before the final administration of the instruments on students. Test-retest reliability the MPT was administered twice on a group of SS2 students who were not part of the main study scores from first and second administration were correlated and split half method use to obtained reliability coefficient of 0.79 while Cronbach's alpha was used to obtained reliability coefficient of 0.89 for the SIMQ. Data collected were analysed using mean, standard deviation to answer research questions and

hypotheses tested at 0.05 significance level using ANCOVA.

Decision Rule: Accept $p\text{-value} \leq 0.05$
Reject $p\text{-value} > 0.05$

Results

Table 1: Mean Interest Score between Experimental and Control Group

Method		Pretest		Post test		Mean Gain
Method	N	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Jigsaw	45	22.67	8.09	68.00	16.60	45.33
Lecture	37	20.27	7.26	21.62	7.64	1.35

Table 1 gives the post-test mean interest score as 68.00 and SD 16.60 for experimental group while post-test mean interest score of 21.62 and SD 7.64

was obtained for the control. This revealed students taught using Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy shows more interest in mathematics than those taught using lecture method.

Table 2: Mean Performance Score between Experimental and Control Group

Method		Pretest		Post test		Mean Gain
Method	N	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Jigsaw	45	23.33	8.25	67.11	15.16	43.78
Lecture	37	19.1	8.25	21.89	8.76	2.79

Table 2 gives the post-test mean performance score as 67.11 and SD 15.16 for experimental group while post-test mean performance score of 21.89 and SD 8.76 was obtained for the control. This revealed

students taught using Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy perform better in mathematics than those taught using lecture method.

Table 3: ANCOVA on Students Interest in Mathematics the between Experimental and Control Group

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared
Corrected Model	50029.498 ^a	2	25014.749	237.193	.000	.857
Intercept	16749.665	1	16749.665	158.822	.000	.668
VAR00002	105.279	1	105.279	.998	.321	.012
VAR00001	48037.922	1	48037.922	455.501	.000	.852
Error	8331.478	79	105.462			
Total	249600.000	82				

^aSignificant at 0.05

Table 3 gives $F(237.193)$ significant at 0.000 indicating there is a significance difference in mean interest score of students taught mathematics using Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy and those taught using lecture method.

Table 4: ANCOVA on Students Performance in Mathematics between Experimental and Control Group

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared
Corrected Model	48436.827 ^a	2	24218.413	263.862	.000	.870
Intercept	16987.675	1	16987.675	185.082	.000	.701
VAR00002	381.454	1	381.454	4.156	.045	.050
VAR00001	43526.677	1	43526.677	474.227	.000	.857
Error	7250.978	79	91.785			
Total	245000.000	82				

**Significant at 0.05

Table 4 gives $F(263.86)$ significant at 0.000 indicating there is a significance difference in mean performance score of students taught mathematics using Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy and those taught using lecture method.

Discussion

It was found that students taught using Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy obtained higher mean interest score in mathematics than those taught using lecture method. Hypothesis which stated there is no significant difference in mean interest score of students taught mathematics using Jigsaw cooperative learning and taught using lecture method is therefore rejected. Hence there is a significant difference in mean interest score of students in mathematics between experimental and control group as a result of the adoption of Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy. This finding is consistent with those of Igboanugo (2011); Umar, *et al* (2014) who reported Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy enhances students interest.

It was found that students taught using Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy obtained higher mean performance score in mathematics than those taught using lecture method. Hypothesis which stated there is no significant difference in mean performance score of students taught mathematics using Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy and taught using lecture method is therefore rejected. Hence there is a significant difference in mean performance score of students in mathematics between experimental and control group as a result of the use of Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy. This finding is in agreement with those of Gull and Shehzad (2015); Altun (2015); Egbulefu, *et al.*, (2015) Gladys and Jinadu (2020); Samuel *et. al.*, (2023); Isa (2023), Theresa and Aniekan (2023), Menakaya *et al* (2022), Jacob *et al.* (2022) who reported Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy enhances students performance in mathematics.

Conclusion

Jig saw cooperative learning strategy has positive effects on students interest and performance in mathematics. Students who adopted the Jig saw cooperative learning shows more interest in mathematics learning and perform better academically.

Recommendation

The study recommends use of Jigsaw cooperative learning strategy by teachers in aiding students learning and understanding of mathematics due to it positive effect on interest and performance in mathematics.

References

- Abdullahi, M. S. & Salisu, M. (2017). Effect of jigsaw teaching method on the performance of senior secondary school students in Arabic language in Ilorin Metropolis, Nigeria. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education, Arts and sciences*, 4(1), 48-53.
- Ahmad, I. (2019). Effect of cooperative learning strategy on performance in Chemistry among secondary school students' in Zaria, Kaduna state. *Innovation in STEM Education*. In A. S. Ifamuyiwa, (Ed.), 60th Annual Conference Proceedings Science Teachers Association of Nigeria, 369 –377.
- Alamri, H. R. H. (2018). The effect of using the jigsaw cooperative learning technique on Saudi EFL students' Speaking Skills. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 9(6), 65-77.
- Amedu, O. I. (2015). The effect of gender on the achievement of students in Biology using the Jigsaw Method. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(17), 176-179.
- Awofala, A.O.A & Fatada, A.O. (2023). Nigeria students poor performance in mathematics who do you blame? *Nigerian online Journal of Education Science and Technology (NOJEST)*, 5(1), 80-91.

- Areelu, F., & Ladele, O.A. (2018). Adopting jigsaw instructional strategy for improving students' interest in mathematics. *International Journal of Educational Learning and Development*. 6(3), 55-67.
- Azmidar, A., Darhim, D., & Dahlan, J. A. (2017). Enhancing students' interest through mathematics learning. *Journal of Physics Conference Series*. 89(1), 1-7.
- Boniface, U. E. (2018). Classroom environment and academic interest as correlates of achievement in senior secondary school. *Global Journal of Educational Research*. 17, 61-71.
- Chukwuebuka C N., Chioma N. B. Chetachukwu K., & Ugochukwu C.E. (2020). Effect of jigsaw-based cooperative learning strategy on senior secondary school students' interest and achievement in physics. *International Journal of Studies in Education*. 16, (1) 164-175.
- Escalera, C. M., Moreno, G. E., & Rojas Kramer, C.A., (2019). Confirmatory model to measure attitude towards mathematics in higher education students: Study case in SLP Mexico. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 14(1), 163–168.
- Federal Republic of Nigeria (2013). National policy on Education, Yaba Lagos, NERDC Press. Federal Government of Nigeria.
- Fisher, P. H. Dobbs- Oates, J., Doctoroff, G. L., & Arnold, D. H. (2012). Early mathematics interest and the development of mathematics skills. *Journal of Educational Psychology* 104(3), 673-681. 947.
- Gongden, E.J. (2019). Effect of jigsaw cooperative learning strategy on senior secondary two chemistry students understanding of chemical kinetic in Jos South. *East African Scholar Journal of Education, Humanities and Literature* 2(5), 280-288.
- Ijeh, (2014) Information and communication technology in classroom practice and practical's. Parole Technologies Co., Abraka, Delta State.
- Kingdom-Aaron, G. I., Etokeren, I. S. & Okwelle, P. C. (2019) Effect of cooperative learning strategy on Biology students' academic performance in senior secondary school in Rivers State. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 23(6), 1-11.
- Kravitz, C. (2013). Why Mathematics is important. In Akanmu, (2016). Application of geogebra software in teaching and learning of Mathematics in Nigeria. MAN 2015 Conference Proceedings.
- Nduji, C.C., Nwandikor, C., Zezich, C & Elejere, C. (2021). Effect of Jigsaw- based cooperative learning strategy on senior secondary school students interest and achievement in physic. *International Journal of Studies in Education*. 16(1), 164-175.
- Nsengimana, Théophile, Leon Rugema Mugabo, Ozawa Hiroaki, & Pheneas Nkundabakura. (2020). "Reflection on Science Competence-Based Curriculum Implementation in Sub Saharan African Countries." *International Journal of Science Education, Part B* 1–14.
- Odogwu, (2014) Investigating high school students reasoning strategies when they solve linear equations. *Journal of Mathematical Behaviour*. 26(1)115-139.
- Renninger, K., & Hidi, S. (2011). Revisiting the conceptualization measurement and generation of interest. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 46(3), 168-184.
- Saul, M. (2024). Constructivist learning theory and philosophy of education. Retrieved from <https://www.simplypsychology.org/constructivism.html> . Thursday 10/04/2024
- Schiefele, U. (1996). Topic interest, text representation and quality of experience. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*. 21(1), 3-18.
- Toli, G., & Kallery, M. (2021). Enhancing students' interest to promote learning in science the case of the concepts of energy. *Educational Science*. 11(5), 115. doi: 10.3390/educs.11050220.
- West African Examination Council (2017). Chief examiners reports.
- Wong, S. L & Wong, S. (2019). Relationship between interest and mathematics performance in a technology enhanced learning context in Malaysia. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhance Learning*. 14(21), 2-13.